Koch, Kristine

From: Allen, Elizabeth <allen.elizabeth@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 6:47 PM
To: LauraKennedy@KennedyJenks.com

Cc: Koch, Kristine; James McKenna; jworonets@anchorgea.com; Humphrey, Chip; Fleming,

Sheila

Subject: RE: Portland Harbor RI Section 8

Hi Laura,

that's a pretty accurate summary. For clarification, we both agreed the language regarding consumption rates was best discussed in section 8.2.4 about the exposure assessment (I'd argue that all of Section 8 is the "main text"). I think that the discussion noting that the rates from the CSFII aren't specific to Portland are best discussed as one of the unceretainties. The suggested edit to delete the word "uncooked" from the discussion of the CSFII consumption rates is inaccurate, as the rates are based on the uncooked weight of fish consumed, and also inconsistent with the dispute decision. The discussion of the CSFII in the final risk assessment clearly notes that the consumption rates are based on the amount of uncooked weight of fish in various meals, and not that fish are presumed to be consumed uncooked. The other edit to this section stating that the consumption rates were used "without consideration of any loss associate with preparation or cooking" is confusing, and also not present in the approved language of the final risk assessment. Presumably, the "loss" isn't referring to the weight of the fish. If this is referring to contaminant concentrations. I'm amenable to including a brief but separate presentation of that subject in the uncertainty discussion, since it has nothing to do with information obtained from the CSFII study.

Thanks.

Elizabeth

From: Laura Kennedy [LauraKennedy@KennedyJenks.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 5:33 PM

To: Allen, Elizabeth

Cc: Koch, Kristine; James McKenna; jworonets@anchorgea.com

Subject: Portland Harbor RI Section 8

Hi Elizabeth,

The following summarizes my understanding of the path forward to finalize RI Section 8 per our conversation today:

- You reviewed the redlines to the revisions to RI Section 8 and had the following comments:
 - You agreed with the addition of text regarding the fish consumption rates but would like the text in the main discussion and not the uncertainty assessment. You disagreed with the modifications to text regarding the source of the rates. You will move the added language, minus the modifications regarding the source of the rates, to the main text.
 - o You want to confirm that the sentence regarding risks associated with regional tissue is in the Final BHHRA.
 - o Other than the above, you agree with the redlines to the revisions.
- You will provide a revised version of RI Section 8 by end of day Monday.
- I will provide the row and column headings for Table 8.4-1 for your review. If you agree with the rows/columns, I will populate the table with the risk results.

If I got anything wrong or if additional clarification is needed, let me know.

Laura Kennedy | Vice President Kennedy/Jenks Consultants

303 Second Street, Suite 300 South | San Francisco, CA, 94107

P: 415.243.2150 | F: 415.896.0999 | C: 415.309.5712 | Direct: 415.243.2405